

114 State Road, Sagamore Beach
Bourne, Massachusetts, 02562 USA
www.bridgersci.com

Voice: 508-888-6699
Fax: 508-888-5919
Email: info@bridgersci.com

Date: 19 March 2001

Estimating Fouling Layer Thickness from Heat Transfer Resistance Reported by DATS

For some fouling investigations valuable insight into the phenomena may be gained by calculating an estimated thickness for the fouling layer. This value is easily calculated from the DATS type 1, 2 or 3 fouling monitor with the following precautions:

- 1) The thermal conductivity of the fouling material must be known! Therefore you must identify the (dominant) deposited material.
- 2) This calculation assumes a solid, homogenous deposit of the fouling material.
- 3) This calculation assumes the surface of the deposit is sufficiently smooth to not disturb the convective boundary layer beyond that of a normal tube (primary source of error in calculation).
- 4) You must use the differential or change in heat transfer resistance reported by the DATS for this calculation. If you properly "zero" the DATS at the beginning of the time period, then the absolute value reported by the DATS is the appropriate value for calculation. If not, you must subtract any initial value from the reported DATS heat transfer resistance (HTR).
- 5) This can be a rate based calculation (time required to deposit specific thickness) if you divide the value by the time interval over which the DATS showed the increase in heat transfer resistance.
- 6) Insure you use consistent units for your calculation!

Theory (simplified 1 dimensional heat transfer):

The DATS reports the heat transfer resistance from the equation	$Q = UA(Th-Tl)$
Heat transfer due to thermal conductivity is calculated from	$Q = kA(Th-Tl)/(\text{thickness})$
Setting these equations equal and solving for thickness yields	$\text{thickness} = kA(Th-Tl)/UA(Th-Tl)$
Deleting like terms	since $HTR = 1 / U$
	$\text{thickness} = k / A = k * HTR$

Typical Example: Calcium Carbonate scale deposit, estimated thermal conductivity: $k = 2 \text{ Watts/M deg C}$
 DATS HTR rise of $.00020 \text{ M}^2 \text{ deg C/Watt}$ over 2 weeks
 Therefore: Thickness = $2 * .00020 = .00040 \text{ meters}$ or $.4 \text{ mm}$ thickness
 This yields a deposition rate of: $.4\text{mm}/(2 \text{ weeks} * 7 \text{ days/week}) = .028 \text{ mm/day}$

To estimate the mass of material deposited on the tube wall you need the density of the fouling material. The mass is just the surface area times the thickness times the density.

Typical Example: Calcium Carbonate scale deposit above, density = $\rho = 2500 \text{ kg/M}^3$
 Assume a unit area 1 meter x 1 meter
 Therefore: Mass = $1 \text{ meter}^2 * .0004 \text{ meters} * 2500 \text{ kg/M}^3 = 1 \text{ kg}$ or 1000 grams/m^2

Table of Values (these are estimates based material at or near 30 degrees C. Actual experimental data [scrapings] are preferred).

	Thermal conductivity	density
Calcium carbonate (limestone / marble)	1.26 to 2.94 w/m deg C	2500 to 2700 kg/m ³
Biofilms (same as stagnant water)	.60 to .65 w/m deg C	997 to 988 kg/m ³
Silica scale	~.78 w/m deg C	2200 to 2700 kg/m ³
Carbon Steel	36 to 54 w/m deg C	7750 to 7830 kg/m ³
Iron Oxide (rust Fe ₂ O ₃)		